NK



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/707,445	11/06/2000	Gilbert M. Aust	A31-2047RE	9717
75	90 01/29/2003			
Tarolli Sundheim Covell Tummino & Szabo LLP 1111 Leader Building 526 Superior Avenue			EXAMINER	
			THALER, MICHAEL H	
Cleveland, OH 44114-1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Application No.

09/707,445

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Advisory Action

Art Unit

Michael Thaler

3731

Aust et al.



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED Jan 13, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) X The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) U they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. 🗆 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the 5. X application in condition for allowance because: Please see attached page. 6. 🗆 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. 🗆 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731

Application/Control Number: 09/707,445

Art Unit: 3731

The allegation on page 5, for example, that the Ryan et al. actuator system for bending cannula 24 must not be operable to bend the cannula with the probe therein simply because Ryan et al. teach bending the cannula 24 while the probe is not therein is not well founded. Nothing is seen which would prevent the flexible probe from bending while it is within the cannula. After all, the probe is bent while it is being inserted into the cannula in the Ryan et al. method.

As to the allegation on page 6, for example, that the tightly wound steel wires would allow aspirated tissue to pass between the steel wires is not well founded since the wires are tightly wound, as pointed out by applicant.